	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/658,417	HOSOKAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian J. Davis	1621
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Brian J. Davis</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Charles Wendel</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 February 2004	Time: <u></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: none		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: A courtesy call was placed to Mr. Wendel to determine if an election of species might be accomplished over the phone. No election was made.		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		÷ .
BRIAN DAVIS PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)